

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,090	01/20/2004	Tom Kusic		7141
75	90 03/31/2006		EXAM	INER
Tom Kusic GPO BOX 932			COLLINS, TIMOTHY D	
MELBOURNE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s).			
Office Action Summary		10/759,090	KUSIC, TOM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Timothy D. Collins	3643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	nears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the processive of the original process of the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2006.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,20,29/3,29/20,30/3,30/20,6,29/6, and 30/6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/886,639.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail [
*						

Application/Control Number: 10/759,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 3, 20, 29/3, 29/20, 30/3, 30/20, 6, 29/6, and 30/6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-9 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6764044. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broad enough to cover the invention of the patent 6764044 claims. Also as seen in the 6764044 patent discloses that the fins exert uneven forces in that one may exert a larger force. The present application discloses that the one fin may have a larger area and therefore exert a larger force. As a matter of physics and aerodynamics, a larger fin exerts a larger force in the same air stream. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied the teachings

of physics and larger fins into the device of the 6764044 for the purpose of allowing for a greater amount of control of the missile or craft which is a goal of the 044 patent.

3. NOTE: it is suggested that the applicant either cancel the claims discussed above or file a terminal disclaimer in the case to obviate this rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-6886. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-3:00, with every other Fri. off.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,090

Art Unit: 3643

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy D. Collins
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3643

Peter M. Poon Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

3/20/00